4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

28

1	Matthew L. Rollin (SBN 332031)				
	SRIPLAW, P.A.				
2	8730 Wilshire Boulevard				
3	Suite 350				
	Beverly Hills, California 90211				
4	323.452.5600 – Telephone				
5	561.404.4353 – Facsimile Matthew.rollin@sriplaw.com				
	Watthew.formie_sripiaw.com				
6	Counsel for Plaintiffs				
7					
	Scott Peak, Reed Timmer and Dan Whittaker				
8					
9	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT			
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
11	GLOBAL WEATHER PRODUCTIONS, LLC,	CASE NO.: 3:25-cv-06017			
12	ADAM LUCIO, SCOTT PEAKE, REED				
14	TIMMER and DAN WHITTAKER,	COMPLAINT FOR INTELLECTUAL			
13		PROPERTY INFRINGEMENT AND			
14	Plaintiffs,	REMOVAL OF COPYRIGHT			
14		MANAGEMENT INFORMATION			
15	V.	(INJUNCTIVE RELIEF DEMANDED)			
16	IRMA AGUIRRE MENDOZA and	(INSCIRCTIVE REDIET BENTANDED)			
10	TELEVISA S.A. DE CV,				
17					
18	Defendants.				
10					
19					
20	GLOBAL WEATHER PRODUCTIONS,	LLC, ADAM LUCIO, SCOTT PEAKE, REED			
21	TIMMER AND DAN WHITTAKER ("Plaintiffs	") by and through their undersigned counsel,			
22	hereby bring this Complaint against Defendants I	RMA AGUIRRE MENDOZA and TELEVISA			
23	S.A. DE CV (collectively referred to herein as "Defendants") who operate the YOUTUBE				
24	CHANNEL named NMAS, for damages and injunctive relief, and in support thereof states as				
25	follows:				
26	SUMMARY O	F THE ACTION			

17 U.S.C. § 106, to reproduce, publicly perform, and distribute Plaintiffs' original copyrighted works

Plaintiffs bring this action for violations of exclusive rights under the Copyright Act,

CALIFORNIA ♦ FLORIDA ♦ GEORGIA ♦ INDIANA ♦ NEW YORK ♦ TENNESSEE ♦ TEXAS

17

of authorship and for removal or alteration of copyright management information in violation of 17 U.S.C. § 1202.

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 2. This is an action arising under the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. §§ 501, 1202.
- 3. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over these claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338(a).
- 4. Defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction in California and jurisdiction is proper in this district court.
- 5. Jurisdiction is proper in California because Defendants are transacting business within California by using the YouTube platform that Google operates from within California to (a) commit acts of infringement, (b) profit from acts of infringement, (c) commit CMI violations, and (d) profit from CMI violations.
- 6. Jurisdiction is proper in California because Defendants committed tortious acts within California on the YouTube platform that Google operates in California. Defendants: (a) committed acts of infringement alleged below in California on the YouTube platform, and (b) committed CMI falsification and removal violations alleged below in California on the YouTube platform.
- 7. Jurisdiction is proper in California because Defendants caused Plaintiffs to suffer a tortious injury in this state caused by an act or omission outside this state. The acts or omissions that the Defendants committed outside the state consisted of: (a) downloading Plaintiffs' copyrighted videos, (b) copying Plaintiffs' copyrighted videos, (c) editing and creating derivative works of Plaintiffs' copyrighted videos, and (d) removing and falsifying Plaintiffs' copyright management information. All these acts caused Plaintiffs to suffer tortious injury in California because after committing these acts, Defendants then uploaded the infringing videos to YouTube and displayed/performed the infringing videos on the YouTube platform with false CMI or with CMI removed. Defendants then profited from their acts by receiving advertising revenue from infringing videos, and damaged Plaintiffs by depriving Plaintiffs of the revenue that rightfully belonged to Plaintiffs and not Defendant.

- 8. Jurisdiction is also proper in this district court pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 512(g)(3)(D) because Defendants consented to the jurisdiction of this federal district court when they provided the counternotification attached hereto in **Exhibit 5**, because the service provider provided with the counternotification can be found in this judicial district, namely YouTube.
- 9. Defendants have sufficient minimum contacts with the state of California, there is a direct connection between the Defendants' tortious acts and the state of California, and the exercise of personal jurisdiction over the Defendants complies with the Due Process Clause of the United States Constitution.
- 10. Defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction in this district pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(k)(2) because (i) Defendants are not subject to jurisdiction in any state's court of general jurisdiction; and (ii) exercising jurisdiction is consistent with the United States Constitution and laws.
- 11. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c) and 1400(a) and 17 U.S.C. § 512(g)(3)(D) because the events giving rise to the claims occurred in this district,

  Defendants engaged in infringement in this district, Defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction in this district, and because Defendants consented to this venue when Defendants provided the counternotification referred to herein because the service provider provided with the counternotification can be found in this judicial district.
- 12. Defendants may be served by and through the email address listed in its counternotice and through YOUTUBE that acted as their agent and Defendants agreed to accept service of process through YOUTUBE from Plaintiffs or an agent of Plaintiffs in the counternotification pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 512(g)(3)(D).

#### **PLAINTIFFS**

13. GLOBAL WEATHER PRODUCTIONS, LLC ("GWP") is a professional videography company. GWP manages and stores Clement's collection of copyrighted works, overseeing the distribution of his creative pieces to various individuals and organizations. This arrangement allows for centralized control and administration of Clement's intellectual property, streamlining the licensing and distribution of his works across different media platforms.

- 14. GWP's videos of extreme weather events are frequently copied, downloaded, and reuploaded by infringers. GWP's videos are a popular and frequent source of footage of weather events that cannot be obtained elsewhere. This makes GWP a frequent target for infringers and pirates.
- 15. GWP is a Wyoming Limited Liability Company. GWP was founded May 31, 2023. Michael Brandon Clement is the sole propriotor/owner of GWP.
  - a. MICHAEL BRANDON CLEMENT ("Clement") is an award-winning videographer and drone pilot that has licensed content to hundreds of media outlets globally. He has been documenting extreme weather for almost 30 years. Clement has been featured on Netflix, HBO, and many other documentaries. His company WxChasing has a well-established brand and large following on social media. His content has amassed Billions of views. Clement has assisted with first responders, charities and regularly provides ground truth to the National Weather Service and major media outlets relaying vital information to the public.
  - b. JONATHAN PETRAMALA ("Petramala") is a professional storyteller and has a degree in journalism. He has a large social media network following documenting his stories during and after major weather events. Petramala has worked from local to national media spanning more than two decades and has performed water rescues, pulled people trapped from tornado debris and helped people out of a trapped car. His content has been viewed hundreds of millions of times and been licensed to news outlets globally. Petramala was also the writer and director in multiple documentaries and been featured in other documentaries. Petramala has been a professional journalist for over 20 years and shifted his focus to extreme weather and storytelling in 2018. Since then, he has chased a variety of events, including flash floods, tornadoes, and hurricanes. His first tornado chase was in Tescott, Kansas, in May 2018 during a severe weather outbreak, and he intercepted his first major hurricane, Hurricane Florence, later that same year.
- 16. ADAM LUCIO ("Lucio") is a professional videographer and severe weather expert with over 20 years of experience in storm chasing and weather documentation. Based in both Dallas,

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Texas, and Chicago, Illinois, Lucio has captured footage of more than 350 tornadoes and numerous major hurricanes throughout his career. His work has been featured in major national and international media outlets. Lucio is the co-owner of Illinois Storm Chasers, LLC, the largest social media-based weather platform in the state of Illinois. In addition to his own fieldwork, Lucio serves as a professional tour guide with Tornadic Expeditions and collaborates with organizations including Texas Storm Chasers and Ryan Hall Enterprises to provide real-time severe weather coverage and content.

- 17. SCOTT PEAKE ("Peake") is a veteran storm chaser and severe weather reporter with 24 years of experience in the field. Over the past 14 years, he has specialized in content creation, including high-impact videography, drone footage, and award-winning photography, having received multiple Storm Photo of the Year honors. Peake currently serves as a Search and Rescue (SAR) First Responder and Meteorologist for the United Cajun Navy (UCN), a nationally recognized disaster relief organization. In this role, he collaborates closely with local, state, and federal agencies, including emergency management, law enforcement, fire departments, and the U.S. Coast Guard, supporting search and rescue missions and disaster recovery efforts. He also provides storm reports to the National Weather Service, contributing to timely and accurate public safety alerts. Peake's storm documentation work has been widely recognized and licensed for media use. His background includes prior experience as a storm chaser for CBS 11 in the Dallas/Fort Worth market, further showcasing his expertise in broadcast media. Through both his content and field operations, Peake plays a critical role in severe weather communication, emergency response, and public safety.
- 18. REED TIMMER ("Timmer") is a meteorologist with a PHD and famous storm chaser. Timmer was featured in "Storm Chasers" television show on Discovery Channel and has worked with local, national, and international media for decades. His live streams of weather events have undoubtedly saved many lives by providing outstanding coverage in real time. Timmer has one of the largest and most valuable extreme weather libraries in the world and has traveled to many countries to document storms. Timmer also has a very large social media presence with several million followers/subscribers and supporters that help finance his endeavors. Timmer has a long

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

history of rescuing people from tornado damage to floods to blizzards and is also the only person to ever shoot a rocket into a tornado and capture extremely rare data from inside of a tornado. Having intercepted over 1000 tornadoes and a dozen powerful hurricanes since he started chasing 20 years ago, Reed Timmer is well-known as the most successful and extreme storm chaser in the world, and is one of the few people in existence to document both an F5 tornado, and the most devastating hurricane in U.S. history (Hurricane Katrina).

- 19. DAN WHITTAKER ("Whittaker") is an award-winning storm chaser, photojournalist, and aerial videographer with over 17 years of experience documenting extreme weather, nature, and wildlife around the world. His work has been featured by National Geographic, the Discovery Channel, and major news outlets, contributing to public safety efforts through collaboration with agencies like the National Weather Service. Whittaker is the founder of StormSquad.net, where his dramatic storm footage helps document severe weather events nationwide, and he is FAA-certified as a remote pilot and specializes in advanced techniques such as drone videography, time-lapse, and motion-controlled filming. He also teaches photography and multimedia at Randolph Community College and is dedicated to educating others about the power and beauty of the natural world."
- 20. Plaintiffs' videos of extreme weather events are frequently copied, downloaded, and reuploaded by infringers. Plaintiffs are popular and frequent sources of footage of weather events that cannot be obtained elsewhere. This makes Plaintiffs frequent targets for infringers and pirates.
  - 21. Plaintiffs operate popular and valuable YouTube channels on the YouTube platform.
- 22. Plaintiffs' YouTube channels are viewed by substantial numbers of California residents who also view advertising placed on the videos on those channels by YouTube.
- 23. When infringement occurs to Plaintiffs' copyrighted Works, Plaintiffs are injured and damaged in California. When someone infringes on Plaintiffs' copyright like Defendants, Plaintiffs lose out on potential sales or licensing revenue to other California businesses. When Plaintiffs' copyrighted work is infringed upon and widely distributed on YouTube without Plaintiffs' permission like Defendants did, it damages Plaintiffs' reputation as a professional source of valuable

9

12

26

extreme weather video content and makes it more difficult for Plaintiffs to negotiate valuable licenses from clients and potential clients in California in the future.

#### YOUTUBE AND GOOGLE LLC

- 24. YouTube is a video-sharing platform where users can watch, upload, and share videos. It is one of the most popular websites in the world, with over 2 billion active users.
  - 25. Google LLC owns YouTube.
  - 26. YouTube is headquartered in this judicial district.
- 27. YouTube's main business is advertising. It generates revenue by selling advertising space on its website and mobile apps. Advertisers can target their ads to specific demographics, interests, and even keywords. This allows them to reach their target audience with a high degree of accuracy. YouTube also earns revenue from its YouTube Premium subscription service. YouTube Premium members can watch videos without ads, download videos for offline viewing, and access YouTube Music Premium. In addition to advertising and subscriptions, YouTube also generates revenue from other sources, such as channel memberships.
- 28. YouTube is a very profitable business. In 2021, it generated \$28.8 billion in revenue and \$20.6 billion in profit. Some of the factors that have contributed to YouTube's success are:
  - The large number of users: YouTube has over 2 billion active users a. worldwide.
  - b. The high level of engagement: Users watch an average of 1 hour and 20 minutes of YouTube videos per day.
  - c. The wide variety of content: YouTube offers a wide variety of content, from music videos to educational tutorials to funny cat videos.
    - d. The ease of use: YouTube is easy to use and navigate.
  - The monetization opportunities: YouTube offers a variety of ways for creators to monetize their content, such as through advertising, channel memberships, and Super Chat.

# **DEFENDANTS**

- 29. IRMA AGUIRRE MENDOZA and TELEVISA S.A. DE CV operate the YOUTUBE CHANNEL named NMAS.
  - 30. It is believed that Irma Aguirre Mendoza is an employee of Televisa S.A. de CV.
- 31. Irma Aguirre Mendoza submitted the counternotice in response to Plaintiffs' takedown notices. *See* **Exhibit 5**.
  - 32. Defendants copied and downloaded Plaintiffs' copyrighted Works from YouTube.
- 33. After Defendants downloaded Plaintiffs' Works, they edited the Works, removed Plaintiffs' copyright management information, and then uploaded infringing versions of Plaintiffs' Works to YouTube.
- 34. Defendants copied Plaintiffs' Works in order to advertise, market, and promote their YouTube channel, grow their YouTube channel subscriber base, earn money from advertising to their YouTube subscribers, and engage in other money-making business activities using Plaintiffs' copyrighted media content.
- 35. Defendants committed the violations alleged in connection with Defendants' businesses for purposes of advertising to the public, including YouTube viewers in California, in the course and scope of the Defendants' business.
- 36. The YouTube and Google AdSense terms of service prohibit the Defendants from engaging in the actions alleged herein. Specifically, the Defendants agreed (1) they are not allowed to upload content that includes third-party intellectual property (such as copyrighted material) unless with permission from that party or are otherwise legally entitled to do so; (2) they are responsible for the content uploaded to YouTube, and may be liable for any copyright infringement claims that arise from your content; (3) YouTube may remove or disable access to any content that it believes infringes on someone else's copyright.
- 37. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 1** is a list showing the Defendants' links to its channel, and the Plaintiffs' Works that are the subject of this action.
  - 38. The Defendants' YouTube channel is extremely popular and valuable.

- 39. The Defendants' YouTube channel earns significant revenue from the performance and display of pirated video content.
- 40. The Defendants monetized the videos it stole from Plaintiffs. Monetizing videos on YouTube involves enabling advertisements to be displayed on your videos, which allows you to earn money through the YouTube Partner Program. The process works like this:
  - a. Eligibility: To monetize your videos, you need to meet certain eligibility criteria set by YouTube. You have to have at least 1,000 subscribers on your channel and a total of 4,000 watch hours in the past 12 months.
  - b. Joining the YouTube Partner Program: Once you meet the eligibility requirements, you can apply to join the YouTube Partner Program (YPP). This program allows you to monetize your videos by enabling ads on them. If your application is approved, you gain access to various monetization features.
  - c. Ad Formats: YouTube offers different ad formats that can appear on your videos, including pre-roll ads (shown before your video starts), mid-roll ads (shown during longer videos), and display ads (overlayed on the video or beside it). The specific types of ads displayed on your videos may depend on factors like the viewer's location and the advertiser's targeting preferences.
  - d. Revenue Sharing: When ads are displayed on your videos, you earn a portion of the revenue generated by those ads. The exact revenue split varies, but generally, creators receive around 55% of the ad revenue, while YouTube retains the remaining 45%. The revenue is based on factors such as the number of ad impressions, viewer engagement, and the advertisers' bidding.
  - e. AdSense Account: To receive payments for your YouTube earnings, you need to have an AdSense account linked to your YouTube channel. AdSense is a program by Google that allows publishers (in this case, YouTube creators) to earn money from ads. Once your AdSense account is set up and linked to your YouTube channel, you can manage your earnings and payment settings.

CALIFORNIA ♦ FLORIDA ♦ GEORGIA ♦ INDIANA ♦ NEW YORK ♦ TENNESSEE ♦ TEXAS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- f. Payment Threshold: YouTube pays creators once they reach a payment threshold, which is typically \$100. Once your earnings exceed this threshold, you become eligible for payment. YouTube offers various payment methods, such as direct deposit or wire transfer, depending on your country.
  - Other Revenue Streams: While ad revenue is a significant way to monetize g. your YouTube channel, creators often explore other revenue streams as well. These can include brand partnerships, sponsorships, merchandise sales, crowdfunding, and more.
- 41. Defendants had access to and downloaded Plaintiffs' copyrighted Works hosted by YouTube from Plaintiffs' affiliated YouTube channels or Facebook pages online.
- 42. Once downloaded, Defendants edited the pirated videos to remove or crop out Plaintiffs' proprietary watermarks and metadata. After editing the pirated videos, Defendants combined the Plaintiffs' videos with other video content that they either stole from others or created themselves and then reupload the resulting video to their YouTube channel and enabled advertising on them to earn monetization revenue.

# THE COPYRIGHTED WORKS AT ISSUE

- 43. The authors of the Works at issue are listed in **Exhibit 1**, along with the URLs where the Works can be found online.
- 44. The Works at issue in this case are registered with the Register of Copyrights pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 411(a). Copies of the Registration Certificates are attached hereto as Exhibit 3.
- 45. At all relevant times, Plaintiffs were the exclusive agents of the Works at issue in this case.

### **INFRINGEMENT BY DEFENDANTS**

- 46. Defendants have never been licensed to use the Works at issue in this action for any purpose.
- 47. On a date after the Works listed in **Exhibit 1** at issue in this action were created, but prior to the filing of this action, Defendants copied the Works and removed Plaintiffs' copyright management information from the Works.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

CALIFORNIA ♦ FLORIDA ♦ GEORGIA ♦ INDIANA ♦ NEW YORK ♦ TENNESSEE ♦ TEXAS

23

24

28

	48.	Defendants copied the Works, publicly performed the Works, and made further
copies	s and dis	tributed the Works on the internet without Plaintiffs' or the authors' permission, and
witho	ut Plaint	iffs' copyright management information.

- 49. Prior to the filing of this action, on a date after the Works were registered, Plaintiffs discovered the unauthorized use of the Works by Defendants.
- 50. Plaintiffs notified YouTube and Defendants of the allegations set forth herein in DMCA notices. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 4** are the Takedown notices.

#### **COUNT I - COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT**

- 51. Plaintiffs incorporate the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 50 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
  - 52. Plaintiffs own valid copyrights in the Works at issue listed on **Exhibit 1.**
- 53. The Works at issue in this case were registered with the Register of Copyrights pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 411(a), as shown in **Exhibit 3**.
- 54. Defendants copied, displayed, and distributed the Works at issue in this case and made derivatives of the Works without Plaintiffs' authorization in violation of 17 U.S.C. § 501. Screenshots of the unauthorized uses are attached hereto as **Exhibit 2**.
- 55. Defendants profited from the monetization of Plaintiffs' copyrighted content by placing advertising on the Plaintiffs' Works and those profits rightfully belong to Plaintiffs.
  - 56. Plaintiffs have been damaged.
  - 57. The harm caused to Plaintiffs is irreparable.

# **COUNT II - REMOVAL OR ALTERATION OF COPYRIGHT MANAGEMENT INFORMATION**

- 58. Plaintiffs incorporate the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 50 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 59. The Works at issue in this case set forth on **Exhibit 1** contained copyright management information ("CMI") consisting of watermarks identifying the Works as "NOT FOR BROADCAST" and the property of WxChasing, Live Storms Media, or similar markings that Plaintiffs use to identify and associate itself with its Works.

60.

2
3
4
5

1

# 6

# 7 8

9

# 10

# 11 12

14

15

13

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

24 25

23

26 27

28

infringement, removed CMI from the Works at issue in this action in violation of 17 U.S.C. § 1202(b). 61.

Defendants committed these acts knowing or having reasonable grounds to know that they will induce, enable, facilitate, or conceal infringement of Plaintiffs' rights in the Works at issue in this action protected under the Copyright Act.

Defendants knowingly and with the intent to enable or facilitate copyright

- Defendants caused, directed, and authorized others to commit these acts knowing or 62. having reasonable grounds to know that they will induce, enable, facilitate, or conceal infringement of Plaintiffs' rights in the Works at issue in this action protected under the Copyright Act.
  - 63. Plaintiffs have been damaged.
  - 64. The harm caused to Plaintiffs has been irreparable.

## COUNT III - FALSIFICATION OF COPYRIGHT MANAGEMENT INFORMATION

- 65. Plaintiffs incorporate the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 50 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 66. Defendants knowingly and with the intent to induce, enable, facilitate, or conceal infringement, provided copyright management information that is false.
- 67. By uploading content to YouTube, Defendants declared that they had the necessary rights or permissions to distribute and share that content.
- 68. Defendants' YouTube channel provided identifying information for Defendants that was copyright management information that is falsely claimed ownership or rights in the Works displayed there that was false and provided to induce, enable, facilitate, or conceal infringement of Plaintiffs' Works in violation of 17 U.S.C. § 1202(a).
- 69. Defendants caused, directed, and authorized others to commit these acts knowing or having reasonable grounds to know that they will induce, enable, facilitate, or conceal infringement of Plaintiffs' rights in the Works at issue in this action protected under the Copyright Act.
  - 70. Plaintiffs have been damaged.
  - 71. The harm caused to Plaintiffs has been irreparable.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against the Defendants that:

4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

2

3

ć	a.	Defendants, their officers, agents, servants, employees, affiliated entities, and
all of the	ose in	active concert with them, be preliminarily and permanently enjoined from
commit	ting th	e acts alleged herein in violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 501, 1203;

- b. Defendants be required to pay Plaintiffs' actual damages and Defendants' profits attributable to the infringement, or, at Plaintiffs' election, statutory damages, as provided in 17 U.S.C. §§ 504, and 1203;
- Plaintiffs be awarded their attorneys' fees and costs of suit under the c. applicable statutes sued upon;
  - Plaintiffs are awarded pre- and post-judgment interest; and d.
- Plaintiffs be awarded such other and further relief as the Court deems just and e. proper.

#### **JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiffs hereby demand a trial by jury of all issues so triable.

DATED: July 16, 2025 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Matthew L. Rollin

MATTHEW L. ROLLIN

SRIPLAW, P.A.

Counsel for Plaintiff Global Weather Productions, LLC, Adam Lucio, Scott Peake, Reed Timmer and Dan Whittaker